# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of	ase (nam	e of first party on each side	JOHN HANCOCI v. ABBOTT LABOR			OMPANY, e	et al.		
		_			•	A				
2.	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).									
	ruie 40.	( <i>a)</i> (1)).								
		l.	160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, R	EGARDLESS OF NATURE O	F SUIT					
		II.		1-446, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710 40*, 850, 890, 892-894, 886, 9			te AO 120 or A Idemark or cop			
	<b>[</b> ]	III.		0, 210, 230, 240, 246, 290, 31 0, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 37						
	and the second	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 486 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871	0, 490, 510, 530, 610, 620, 63 1, 87 <b>,</b> 990.	0, 640, <del>6</del> 50	, 660,				
		V.	150, 152, 153.	7.2						
3.	district	please inc		ee local rule 40.1(g)). If more of the first filed case in this case the Abbott Laboratories,	ourt.	prior related car		ed in this		
4.	Has a pr	rior action	between the same parties :	and based on the same clain	n ever beer	n filed in this co	urt?			
٠.	iias a pi	nor acuor	botween the same parties	and basta on the same claim	YES	✓ NO	[			
5.		e complai	int in this case question the	constitutionality of an act of	f congress	affecting the pu	blic interest?	(See 28 USC		
	§2403)				YES	NO				
	If so, is	the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent or emp	loyee of the U.S. a party?	169		[4]			
					YES	NO	4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			
6.	ls this c	ase requi	red to be heard and determi	ned by a district court of the	ee judges į	pursuant to title	28 USC §2284	?		
		•		•	YES	NO NO	<b>7</b>			
7.				governmental agencies of the governmental age						
					YES	<b>√</b> NO				
			If was in which division de	a all of the nen governments	l naction so	ada?	Ber a value and			
		Α.	Eastern Division	o <u>all</u> of the non-governmenta Central Division	•		tern Division	West of the second		
		В.		the majority of the plaintiffs reside?	or the only	y parties, exclud	ling governme	ntal agencies,		
			Eastern Division	Central Division		Wes	tern Division			
8.			of Removal - are there any m se sheet identifying the motion	otions pending in the state ons)	court requi	ring the attentio	n of this Court	? (If yes,		
					YES	NO NO				
(PI	LEASE T	PE OR P	RINT)							
			BRIAN A. DAVIS, ESC	Q.						
	DRESS			LLP, Exchange Place,	53 State S	St. Boston, M.	A 02109			
	_		517) 248-5000			<u> </u>		<del></del>		
. =			<del></del>							

#### %JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
John Hancock Life Insurar Insurance Company, and M			è.	Abbott Laboratories					
(b) County of Residence (E)	of First Listed Plaintiff S KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	uffolk SES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					E
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	)		Attorneys (If Known	1)				
Brian A. Davis, CHOATE	E, HALL & STEWART	LLP							
Exchange Place, 53 State				THE PROPERTY OF	DDINGID	I DADTIEC			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		L PARTIES	Place an "X" in C and One Box f		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State		Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		PTF 4	DEF 4
17 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<b>1</b> 4 Diversity		Citiza	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 8 of Business In Another State				<b>5</b> 5	
	(Indicate Citizenshi	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen or Subject of a			□ 6	<b>6</b>	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	y)		reigh country					
CONTRACT	TOP		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment     & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted     Student Loans     (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment     of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 330 Federal Employers Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal ☐ Injury ☐ CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ ☐ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 345 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 360 Employment	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO!  510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Otl  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	1	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 225 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 990 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 120 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reportin & Disclosure Act 140 Railway Labor Act 190 Other Labor Litigation Security Act	1 423 With 28 US   2	RTY RIGHTS rights at emark  SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	480 Consum   490 Cable/S   490 Cable/S   810 Selectrit   Exchang   875 Custom   12 USC   890 Other S   891 Agricul   892 Econom   894 Energy   895 Freedom   Act   900 Appeal	st and Banking erce ation cer Influence Organization er Credit Sat TV eve Service ies/Commo ge erce Challeng 3410 Statutory Actural Acts nic Stabilizonmental M. Allocation m of Inform of Fee Detected acces the control of the Credit Acces the Credit	ge ced and ons ditties/ ge ctions tatters Act nation ermination is
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  VII. REQUESTED IN  COMPLAINT:	DN  Brief description of ca This is an action for f  CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	Appellate Court tute under which you a tuse: raud, breach of contract IS A CLASS ACTION	Reon re filing (	estated or and and spened (sp (Do not cite jurisdicti	tion is proper p		C. Section 133:		m e
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Woodlock	k		DOCKE	T NUMBER 03	-12501-DPV	N	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		7.6	· K	·					
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

<del></del>
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-11150-DPW
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
_)

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### Introduction

1. This is an action for fraud, breach of contract, and indemnification in which plaintiffs John Hancock Life Insurance Company, John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company and ManuLife Insurance Company (f/k/a "Investors Partner Life Insurance") seek compensatory and punitive damages, costs and attorneys' fees for defendant Abbott Laboratories' misrepresentations and other conduct that violates the Agreement entered into by and between the plaintiffs and defendant and dated as of March 13, 2001 (the "Agreement"). This action is filed as a separate related action to the pending matter

captioned *John Hancock Life Insurance Company, et al. v. Abbott Laboratories*, Civil Action No. 03-12501-DPW (the "Existing Action"), pursuant to Section (1) of the Court's Scheduling Order entered in the Existing Action on March 30, 2004.

#### The Parties

- 2. Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company is a company, duly formed and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, that maintains its corporate headquarters in Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts. John Hancock Life Insurance Company is one of the nation's leading insurance companies, providing a broad array of insurance and investment products to retail and institutional customers, primarily in North America.
- 3. Plaintiff John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company is a company, duly formed and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, that maintains its corporate headquarters in Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts. John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company provides variable life insurance products that link life insurance coverage and an investment return to an underlying portfolio of investments chosen by the policyholder.
- 4. Plaintiff ManuLife Insurance Company (collectively, with plaintiffs John Hancock Life Insurance Company and John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company, "John Hancock") is a company, duly formed and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, that maintains its corporate headquarters in Boston, Suffolk County, Massachusetts. ManuLife Insurance Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of John Hancock Variable Life Insurance

Company that sells various types of life insurance products. ManuLife Insurance Company formerly was known as "Investors Partner Life Insurance."

Defendant Abbott Laboratories ("Abbott") is a corporation, duly formed and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, that maintains its corporate headquarters in Abbott Park, Illinois. Abbott is a broad-based healthcare company that discovers, develops, manufactures and markets products and services that span the continuum of care — from prevention and diagnosis to treatment and cure. Abbott's principal businesses are global pharmaceuticals, nutritionals, and medical products, including diagnostics and cardiovascular devices. Abbott achieved record sales and net earnings of \$19.7 billion and \$3.2 billion, respectively, in 2004. Its leadership positions in several multibillion-dollar businesses provide Abbott with a unique balance of revenue growth opportunities and cash flow sources that allow Abbott to invest in its future.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 7. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1) because defendant Abbott resides in this district within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c), and further because Section 16.2 of the parties' Agreement provides that Abbott,

### The Facts

The Agreement And Its Relevant Terms

8. On March 13, 2001, John Hancock and Abbott entered the Agreement,





11. The Agreement, which comprises more than thirty-five (35) pages, was the subject of extensive negotiations between the parties and their counsel over a period of approximately one year.



13. Abbott further represented and warranted to John Hancock that,

17. The Agreement further provides John Hancock with the power to

# REDACTED

### John Hancock's Efforts to Audit Abbott's Compliance With The Terms of the Agreement

18. Since the Agreement was executed on March 13, 2001, John Hancock has become aware of certain potential breaches of the Agreement by Abbott.

19. Consistent with the terms of the Agreement, and in an effort to assist in confirming or refuting Abbott's suspected violations, John Hancock initiated an independent audit of Abbott's books and records on April 12, 2004.

# REDACTED

Abbott unreasonably and unjustifiably has delayed, and continues to delay, its response to John Hancock's audit request, and has taken affirmative steps to obstruct the legitimate efforts of John Hancock's independent auditors to confirm or refute Abbott's compliance with terms of the Agreement. Tactics employed by Abbott to hinder, delay and obstruct John Hancock's efforts to audit Abbott's compliance with the terms of the Agreement include, but are not limited to:

#### Abbott's Violations of the Agreement

### A. Obstructing John Hancock's Compliance Audit

John Hancock's attempts to audit Abbott's compliance with the terms of the Agreement

Upon information and belief, Abbott's efforts to hinder, delay and obstruct John Hancock's audit activities are intended to undermine, and have had the effect of undermining, John Hancock's ability to obtain information which would tend to confirm that Abbott has breached the Agreement in various other ways as set forth below.









John Hancock's Efforts to Resolve Its Claims Against Abbott Amicably

- 34. On April 1, 2005, John Hancock provided written notification to Abbott of the existence and nature of the foregoing disputes
- 35. Authorized representatives of John Hancock and Abbott subsequently met in Chicago, Illinois on May 20, 2005, in an effort to resolve their disputes amicably. That effort was unsuccessful.

#### Claims

### COUNT I (Fraud)

36. John Hancock hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint, *supra*.

# REDACTED

41. As a result of Abbott's misrepresentations, John Hancock has been defrauded by Abbott and has suffered, and likely will continue to suffer, monetary damages and harm in an amount to be determined.

# COUNT II (Breach of Contract)

- 42. John Hancock hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint, *supra*.
- 43. The Agreement constitutes a valid and binding contract between the parties.

  John Hancock has performed all of its obligations under the Agreement.
- 44. Abbott has breached its obligations to John Hancock under the Agreement, *inter alia*, by:

# REDACTE:

- (g) unreasonably and unjustifiably hindering, delaying and obstructing John Hancock's efforts to audit Abbott's compliance with the terms of the Agreement.
- 45. By engaging in the foregoing conduct, Abbott further has breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing that is implied by law in every contract, including the Agreement.



### PEDAGTEL

47. As a result of Abbott's willful and wanton breaches of its express and implied obligations under the Agreement, John Hancock has suffered, and likely will continue to suffer, monetary damages and harm in an amount to be determined.

# COUNT III (Indemnification)

- 48. John Hancock hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Complaint, *supra*.
- 49. Abbott has breached its representations, warranties and obligations to John Hancock under the Agreement as set forth herein.

### The same of the sa

53. Notwithstanding John Hancock's request for indemnification, Abbott has refused to indemnify John Hancock for its compensable Losses.

#### **Prayers for Relief**

WHEREFORE, John Hancock respectfully requests that the Court:

- (a) award John Hancock compensatory damages in an amount to be determined, plus interest and costs, for Abbott's fraud under Count I of the Complaint;
- (b) award John Hancock compensatory damages in an amount to be determined, plus interest and costs, for Abbott's various breaches of contract under Count II of the Complaint;
- (c) enter an order directing Abbott to indemnify John Hancock for its compensable Losses, including John Hancock's damages, costs, and other reasonable expenses such as audit charges and attorneys' fees, under Count III of the Complaint;
- (d) award John Hancock punitive damages for Abbott's willful and wanton misconduct in an amount to be determined under Counts I and II of the Complaint; and
- grant John Hancock such other and further relief as the Court deems just (e) and appropriate in the circumstances.

JOHN HANCOCK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, JOHN HANCOCK VARIABLE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND MANULIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

By their attorneys,

Brian A. Davis (BBO No. 546462) Karen Collari Troake (BBO No. 566922) Stacy Blasberg (BBO No. 657420)

CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Tele: 617-248-5000

Date: June 3, 2005

CHI:1549649.1